

## **Anticipatory tribalism: accusatory politics in the ‘New Gambia’\***

NIKLAS HULTIN<sup>A</sup>

TONE SOMMERFELT<sup>B</sup>

<sup>A</sup> *Global Affairs Program, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, MSN 6B4,*

*Fairfax, VA 22030, United States of America*

ihultin@gmu.edu

<sup>B</sup> *Department of Social Anthropology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,*

*NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

tone.sommerfelt@ntnu.no

\*This paper is based on research funded by the College of Humanities & Social Sciences at George Mason University (Hultin), the Falkenberg Foundation, and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (Sommerfelt). We are grateful to Byunghwan Son for commenting on an earlier version of this paper. We thank the many individuals who informed this paper or who helped us think through ethnicity in The Gambia. In particular, we thank Hassoum Ceesay of the National Museum, Sait Matty Jaw of the University of The Gambia, and Jarra Dabo and Omar Drammeh of the African Centre for Community and Development in Oslo. Thanks are also due to the anonymous reviewers for their detailed comments. Any errors of fact or analysis remain ours. The article has been written with equal contributions of each author.

# Anticipatory tribalism: accusatory politics in the ‘New Gambia’\*

NIKLAS HULTIN<sup>A</sup>

TONE SOMMERFELT<sup>B</sup>

<sup>A</sup> *Global Affairs Program, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, MSN 6B4,  
Fairfax, VA 22030, United States of America*

ihultin@gmu.edu

<sup>B</sup> *Department of Social Anthropology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,  
NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

tone.sommerfelt@ntnu.no

## ABSTRACT

This article examines the upsurge in denunciations of ‘tribalism’ in public debate during The Gambia’s transition from the autocracy of Yahya Jammeh to the ‘New Gambia’ under President Adama Barrow. In these public debates, derogatory statements about particular ethnicities articulate fears of present or future alliances to monopolise political power. These fears are disproportionate to attempts of organised political mobilisation on ethnic grounds, which remain marginal. It is argued that accusatory politics is a salient, and neglected, feature of ethnic dynamics in contemporary Gambian—and African—politics. This politics of accusation involves the contestation and negotiation of moral legitimacy in the political sphere, in a manner challenging the separation of the moral and the political undergirding scholarly distinctions between ethnicity and tribalism.

## INTRODUCTION

In the wake of The Gambia's 2016 presidential election and the ensuing standoff that led to President Yahya Jammeh stepping down quite literally at gunpoint, arguments about the role of ethnicity in The Gambia have dominated the Gambian political landscape (on these events, see Hartmann 2017; Hultin *et al.* 2017; Kora & Darboe 2017; Perfect 2017). Gambian media and social media, in the country and in the diaspora, feature frequent allegations of 'tribalism,' with authors expressing alarm over, for example, the perceived 'Mandingoization' of the security services (*Freedom Newspaper* 20.4.2017), alleged anti-Fula discrimination by immigration authorities (*Freedom Newspaper* 5.5.2017), and selective security policies targeting the Jola dominated home area of former President Jammeh (*The Daily Observer* 5.6.2017). This theme has trickled into the international discourse surrounding what is often glossed as 'the New Gambia.' For example, following the Parliamentary elections in April 2017, the European Union Election Observation mission noted that: 'during the final days of the campaign... the subject of political tribalism became more prominent in the campaign narrative. The [observation mission] observed rallies where ethnicity was exploited to mobilise political support' (European Union Election Observation Mission 2017: 20). Some scholarly observers have warned that there is a 'considerable potential... for ethnic rivalry' in the wake of Jammeh's departure (Wright 2018: 281).

Events in The Gambia thus appear to follow a familiar pattern. Political scientists and other scholars have a long record of linking authoritarian governments to the suppression of ethnicity, suggesting that ethnic conflict emerges in contexts of dramatic political transition and uncertainty. Posen's discussion of ethnic politics and the security dilemma is a particularly well-known example of this line of argument (Posen 1993; see also Rose 2000). From this point of view, the Gambian script goes something as follows: an authoritarian

leader (Yahya Jammeh) of a multi-ethnic society and with a great deal of investment in the mythology of his person leaves office, and, as a result, latent ethnic politics bubble to the surface as the various ethnic identities offer a ready-made, hitherto suppressed, vehicle for political mobilisation and claims-making.

We do not think the Gambian case fits this model. Our argument in this article is that an understanding of the momentum of ethnicity in contemporary Gambian politics must take into account an accusatory politics in which contests over moral legitimacy in the political sphere hinge on the ability to articulate political claims along non-ethnic lines while, simultaneously, denouncing purportedly ethnically articulated claims as illegitimate, indecent, and uncivilised. Ethnic politics are thus less about activating latent ethnic identifiers to stake claims to power, privilege, or access to resources based on such identifiers, but more about articulating moral condemnation in a language that accomplishes three distinct, though related, things: first, it separates the present from the past in that it disqualifies the kind of ethnic invocations seen in the latter day of Jammeh's rule, whereby he tried to gin up anti-Mandinka sentiment, and delegitimises analogous ethnic claims in the present. In the post-Jammeh context, this moral politics of ethnicity, or 'tribalism,' is thus about separating oneself from the Jammeh-era and hitching one's political opponents to that dark chapter of Gambian history. Second, it draws the boundaries of the moral political community by articulating lines of political decency—or, rather, what kinds of arguments are decent and what that says about the people making those arguments—in a manner not possible during the Jammeh-era. Third, whereas ethnically based claims-making is in a sense based on past grievances, this accusatory politics is anticipatory and speculative: the urgency behind it is derived less from an actual state of affairs at present and more from a fear of what 'tribalism' might usher in. The kind of corporate politics along ethnic lines (be it in

terms of electoral contestation or resource allocation) you see in many other African countries is simply not present to a significant extent in The Gambia. On a conceptual level, furthermore, our analysis suggests that the persistent, yet often critiqued, focus on identity in African politics—even if conceptualised in more subtle ways such as, for example, overlapping circles of identity (e.g. Chabal 2013)—needs to be supplemented by a focus on the moral re-constitutive effects of (anti-)identitarian slurs in political contestation and cooperation and, in the case of accusations of ‘tribalism,’ a focus on the moral politics of anti-tribalism.

In order to capture the historical trajectories of these contemporary dynamics, this article examines the accusatory and anticipatory politics of ethnicity in The Gambia in a largely chronological fashion. Immediately following this introduction, we briefly address conventional understandings of the intersection of ethnicity and politics in sub-Saharan Africa and detail how our understanding of the Gambian example provides for a different framework. In the following section, we provide an overview of the social importance of ethnicity in The Gambia. In the next three sections, we take a chronological approach, starting with the lead-up to independence and the presidency of Dawda Jawara, followed by The Gambia under Jammeh (1994-2017), and ending with the ‘New Gambia’ under President Barrow (through 2019). In these three sections, we will show that while there have been attempts at political mobilisation along ethnic lines, these remain marginal and have been repeatedly disqualified by condemnations of ‘tribalism.’ These three sections further foreground the directionality of publicly voiced suspicions and accusations of negative ‘tribalist’ potentiality, the ways in which ethnicity figures in constitutional and legal documents, and the moral politics of public outcries against tribalism.

We are basing the analysis on primary sources, including online news articles and social media, and secondary sources. Online news articles, it should be emphasised, are used as documentation of political rhetoric, and the moral and political judgements therein, and not as straightforward evidence of past events. We also recognise that some of the online sources, such as *Freedom Newspaper*, are controversial in The Gambia and might in some ways represent the alarmist edge of political discourse—which, in fact, would go to support our argument.<sup>1</sup> We are also drawing on research conducted by us over the last two decades (Hultin has conducted research in The Gambia since the early 2000s, Sommerfelt since the mid-1990s), focusing in particular on fieldwork carried out in 2017 (Hultin) and 2019 (Hultin and Sommerfelt), after the ascendancy of Barrow. Empirical material from these fieldworks is by and large ethnographic, generated in informal discussions and unstructured interviews.

#### ETHNICITY, POLITICS AND THE MORALITY OF ‘TRIBALIST’ ACCUSATIONS

In the scholarly literature on ethnicity in contemporary African politics, ethnicity is typically treated as a pre-existing descent-based identity that is both an independent variable in explaining particular outcomes (e.g. civil war) and a form of belonging that is manipulated by politicians in systems of clientelism (cf. Chandra 2006). Ethnicity thus frequently has a negative moral shading; it is seen as an obstacle to democratic consolidation and fertile ground for centrifugal social forces. Thus, we have, to give a few examples, studies showing how ethnic identities in countries like Kenya and Burundi are mobilised (and strengthened) by a fractured political elite (respectively, Carrier & Kochore 2014; Daley 2006) while other scholars have linked ethnic identity to different policy preferences (Lieberman & McClendon 2012). This is not to say that ethnicity necessarily predicts political alliances and claims. Recent work in political science problematises the link between ethnicity and party

preferences, which in turn suggests that ethnicity is but one of several variables affecting party loyalty and electoral competition (Basedau *et al.* 2011; Cheeseman & Ford 2007; but see also Eifert *et al.* 2010). Such findings, however, do not necessarily alter the negative conceptualisation of ethnicity as an obstacle to be overcome and a potentially troublesome variable in the African political calculus (e.g. Arthur 2009).

It thus remains the case that ethnicity provides a central aperture through which Africans interact with the postcolonial state and its agents, paving the way for what Berman (1998) famously referred to as ‘uncivil nationalism’ or, in a slightly different way, what Ekeh (1975) sought to capture with his invocation of the ‘two publics.’ These two influential takes have in common a premise that ethnicity somehow has an existence prior and external to the political and, in many ways, trumps the idealised (if not naive) expectations of formal state politics. Lonsdale (1994) sought to develop this distinction by separating ‘moral ethnicity’ from ‘political tribalism.’ In Lonsdale’s telling, ‘moral ethnicity’ takes on a more everyday sheen, consisting of the quotidian (though not inconsequential) claims of belonging, identity, and morality, while ‘political tribalism’ is the activation of such identities for purposes of advancement and gain in political competition between similarly activated groups. Here, ethnicity in and of itself is not problematic (or ‘uncivil’), but when mobilised it becomes problematically expressed as ‘tribalism.’ The challenge, as scholars like Lonsdale, Berman, and Ekeh all argue, is that the weaknesses of the formal state apparatuses in most of Africa are such that ethnicity becomes the default basis for political contestation and identity formation. Something broadly akin to what political theorists and philosophers have referred to as ‘constitutional patriotism’ (see, e.g., Müller 2009) or a patriotism directed less to an idea of a nation (or ethnicity) and more to a set of rules embodied in the state and its founding documents, is simply not possible.

We do not discount the importance of ethnicity in African politics generally and recognise that the distinction between ethnicity as an everyday identity and the political activation thereof is helpful, but we believe that this formulation is missing an important step, captured by a focus on the moral politics of accusation. Werbner's comments on Lonsdale takes us closer to this moral politics. According to Werbner, in his study of what he refers to as the 'reasonable radicals' of Botswana, the distinction between ethnicity and tribalism presumes that ethnic identities are relatively impermeable. Where they are permeable, as in Botswana (Werbner's case; and, we would add, in The Gambia), ethnicity is less about 'unprincipled factional competition' and more about 'moral relations in postcolonial super-tribalism' (Werbner 2004: 69). These relations need not, however, be understood solely along ethnic lines; in Werbner's post-colonial politics, ethnicity is simply one of several possible axes of disagreement and alliance-formation. In practice, this means that tribalism as we use it herein is agnostic on the time-depth, authenticity, and habitus of ethnic identifications; insofar as ethnicity entails situationally-invoked claims of belonging vis-à-vis others, it operates in this context as a meta-political claim where the important issue is not whether a particular ethnic group is being activated, in organisational terms, but whether ethnicity as a salient category is activated in the first place (cf. Yeros 1999). When scholars such as Berman (1998: 339) bemoan the absence of a 'trans-ethnic public arena grounded in universalistic norms and civic trust governing both political and economic transactions,' they are thus not simply diagnosing a feature of African politics, but are also advancing a meta-political claim as to what politics ought to look like. This is, in effect, the 'radicalism' of Werbner's interlocutors. In Werbner's telling, what is radical is the attempt to bring into being such as a trans-ethnic public arena even when that goes against the grain of ethnicity, an attempt which is in presumed contradistinction to tribalism. The latter, when weaponised in the form of an



accusation or slur, is a way to delegitimise a possible entrant into this trans-ethnic public arena, irrespective of whether that entrant is actually engaging in any kind or form of ethnic politics or not. The language of ‘tribalism’ is thus only in part functionally equivalent to ethnic stereotyping (e.g. Jackson 2006; Onoma 2017), with a key difference being that it not only delegitimizes the target, but also the very way the target seeks to enter the political arena. The moral politics of accusation is thus a meta-politics that delineates the proper boundaries of politics.

Importantly, we are not presuming a kind of teleology to African politics whereby, with the right ‘inputs,’ ethnic and other sectarian claims are assimilated into a trans-ethnic public arena. Such a teleology has been important to various African countries’ post-independence nation building projects—for example, in Zimbabwe the post-civil war nationalist discourse dismissed ethnicity to the point of criminalisation (Mhlanga 2013)—but that does not mean it is analytically helpful. It should also be emphasised that we do not limit the use of the concept of ethnicity as descriptive of inter-group relationships (on such reductionism, see Banks 1996). In The Gambia, as developed below, ethnicity is permeable and does not always constitute clear-cut boundaries between mutually exclusive groups; it is made relevant in dyadic relationships, and occasionally in networks (e.g. trade). In local communities, relationships follow kinship distinctions more than ethnicity per se. In the Gambian Parliament and in national politics overall, the ‘notion of the “good constituency” MP is strong’ (Wiseman 1991: 87), with National Assembly members’ reputation depending on the extent to which they have pushed their constituents’ interests irrespective of ethnicity. In political campaigning, candidates tend to appeal to residents in their constituencies regardless of ‘tribe,’ promising services to local communities in return for political loyalty. For example, during the 2017 campaigns, representatives of Gambia Democratic Congress

(GDC) reportedly handed out cement and similar gifts, and in return at least one village chief promised that the village would vote for GDC (European Union Election Observation Mission 2017: 20). This kind of localisation, Werbner (2004: 40-41) suggests, cuts ‘across prior ethnic and tribal divisions and sometimes given a new cultural gloss...in reflection of the emergence of fresh identities.’ Moreover, ethnicity works at the intersection of regionalism, urban-rural divides, caste, class and other mechanisms for differentiation (Kea 2010: 66).

Emphasising the effects of ethnic slurs in political contestation and cooperation, we argue that competitive accusations of tribalism demarcate and reconstitute boundaries of moral politics. Accusations and counter-accusations of tribalism constitute a discourse on moral being and highlights immoral relations in a manner that disqualifies certain political claims (and, by extension, the people making them) as indecent (cf. Englund 1996). Though public acts of moral distancing from ‘tribalists’ is thus part of identity-politics, Gambian debates on ethnicity foreground distinctions and notions of civility, entangling ethnicity with the political *and* the moral.<sup>2</sup> By the same token, accusations and counter-accusations of tribalism raise emotions that are simultaneously political and moral (cf. Johansen *et al.* 2018). The Gambian discourse focuses attention to the moral character of particular political persons, parties, and branches within political parties – and their negative potentiality. As Vigh (2015: 120) argues with reference to Guinea-Bissau, politics ‘is in part seen as centred on a competition for the depths and intensity of perspective ... Having eyes allows people to protect themselves from what conspires against them.’ In question is not only the moral being of particular political persons at present, but a competitive accusatory form that drives societal and political process and that we dub ‘anticipatory tribalism’: recognition of the potential for a tribalist future, involving expectations, accusations, speculations or fears,

about particular persons or groupings about their proving to be, or becoming, ‘tribalists’ in the future. Anticipation takes the form of the constant ‘awakening’ of the present (Bryant and Knight 2019: 26)—encompassing efforts to ward off, prevent or otherwise informally govern prospects of such a future.

#### ETHNICITY IN GAMBIAN EVERYDAY LIFE

Ethnic self-identification is part of daily life in The Gambia and ethnicity is linked, for example, to particular livelihoods, occupations, and settlement patterns. Sarr (2016: 41) describes how, in pre-19th century Gambia, ethnicity was tied to land claims which in turn hardened and politicised these identities, ‘however fictitious they were.’ Where contemporary livelihoods are concerned, to mention a few examples, rice cultivation along the River Gambia remains particularly associated with female Mandinka cultivators, while in the upper river areas it is also associated with Soninke (or Serahuli) identity, and in southern Gambia with Jola ethnicity (Carney & Watts 1991: 654). In contrast, Wolof rice cultivation is held up as an oddity known only in a handful of settlements, as in the town of Kaur (Sommerfelt 2013a: 74). Wolof subsistence agriculture is to a greater extent associated with men’s and women’s millet cultivation, whereas ethnic stereotyping connects Fula to cattle herding. As cattle is a source of wealth across several of what is now known as ethnic groups or ‘tribes,’ many majority Mandinka and Wolof villages in the rural areas have Fula households on their outskirts, with cross-generational relationships between particular Fula families and other members of the community being based on the exchange of services and produce relating to herding (Sommerfelt 2013b: 62). This is visible in built-up areas too, where Fula women predominate in sales of dairy products. Further, Lau & Scales (2016) report that Jola identity is key to women oyster harvesters, while Gaibazzi (2015) has

described how Gambian Soninke ethnicity historically has been linked to particular constellations of agriculture and trade, for instance in diamonds (a notion which remains in present stereotypes that connects Soninke identity to ‘high end’ commerce).

Several social entities that are referred to by Gambians today as ‘tribes’ result from mutations and consolidations of identities that precede colonial rule, and from colonial assignments of reified tribal, or ‘ethnic’, constructs (cf. Nugent 2008; Wright 1999). Moreover, the association of ‘tribal’ entities with distinct livelihoods may give a false impression of ethnicity as constituting fixed boundaries or categorical labels of personal belonging. In both rural and urban Gambia, ethnic identities are highly mutable. In conversations about personal background, changes in a family’s ethnic identification appear more often than not—changes for instance brought about by the adoption of a new source of livelihood following migration. Thus, when a person’s ‘origin’ is debated, it nearly always unleashes stories of migration, change and interchange: ‘those here surnamed Kebbeh were Fulbe while living in Senegal five generations ago but now we are Wolof’; ‘My father is Serahuli but I was raised by Mandinka relatives so I guess I am Mandinko now’; or, ‘the families surnamed Cham are Wolof or Mandinka now but were originally Fulbe from Futa Toro’; and so forth (see also Wright 1999: 417). When Gambians talk about their background in ethnic terms, they reveal layers upon layers of social integration across differences of language and tradition (Sommerfelt 2016). This is also manifest in notions of equivalent surnames (Juawara and Mbow, Willan and Fofana, Tunkara and Kanteh, Nyang and Sanyang) that reflect caste-distinctions across ethnic difference; and in joking relationships between persons defined as different in terms of ethnicity or descent, village affiliation or region of origin. These relationships connote reciprocal obligations and permit inter-group banter that, absent the joking relationship, can be offensive. Thus, Davidheiser (2006: 845) argues, joking

relationships are a ‘ritualized social space’ that diffuses tension both between and within ethnic groups. We further emphasise that ethnic banter of this sort is part of inter-personal dynamics as much as inter-group relationships, conveying ethnic differentiation but not necessarily ethnic incorporation at a group level.<sup>3</sup> Marriage across ethnic lines is also very common, a reality that distinguishes ethnicity from boundaries between groups of professional hereditary specialisation (‘caste’), across which marriage remains contentious (Sommerfelt 2013a). In this sense, ethnicities are partly overlapping and permeable (cf. Werbner 2004: 68-69).

Ethnicity, then, intersects in complex ways with hereditary occupational specialisation or caste-like formations. Notably, and similar to ‘caste’ relationships, livelihood specialisations associated with ethnicity— and relationships between ‘first-comers’ and ‘strangers’— have contributed to mutual dependence in local communities, as in the case of Fula herdsmen, as much as they have provoked to rivalries (cf. Sarr 2016: 7-8). Furthermore, in The Gambia, as elsewhere in Africa, ethnicity is one of several ‘criteria of stratification’ (Kea 2010: 66) in daily life, intersecting with caste, class, gender, descent, nationality, generational, and religious differences. Unlike many other African countries, however, such ethnic identifications have not been successfully mobilised to a significant extent, at least not during the late colonial era up to through today (a partial exception is, as we will see, is the late Jammeh-era).

#### ETHNICITY IN COLONIAL AND NATIONAL POLITICS UNTIL 1994

In light of the enduring presence of ethnic identification in everyday life, the relative lack of attention to ethnicity in the scholarship on recent Gambian politics, which in and of itself is

quite small, is notable. In scholarly discussions of the 2016 election and its aftermath, Kora and Darboe (2017) and Hultin *et al.* (2017) discuss ethnicity in passing as an ultimately futile ploy by Jammeh (see below). Perfect (2017: 327) addresses ethnicity in relation to the 2016 election but concludes that it is difficult to assess this relation beyond noting that Jammeh continued to enjoy strong Jola support. Hartmann (2017) does not address ethnicity at all. Scholarship on Gambian politics prior to 2016 inconsistently addresses ethnicity, with notable exceptions (Hughes 1975, 1982; Hughes & Perfect 2006; Wiseman 1985, 1991). In their thorough work on The Gambia's political history, Hughes & Perfect (2006) discuss the significance of ethnicity in party politics and in each of The Gambia's elections, but the volume focuses on the pre-Jammeh (pre-1994) era. The most comprehensive work on The Gambia, edited by Gambian scholars, only mentions ethnicity in relation to the practice of joking kinship, the Casamance conflict, and in the context of the history of political parties but not with reference to contemporary politics (Saine *et al.* 2013). Indeed, conventional wisdom holds that neither of The Gambia's two governments until 2017—Jawara's Peoples' Progressive Party (PPP) government, and Jammeh's APRC (Alliance for Patriotic Reorientation and Construction) government—significantly exploited ethnicity for political ends (Hughes 2000: 48). This conventional wisdom is well expressed by Ceesay (2006: 202) who concludes that, 'tribalism is a small factor in all aspects of Gambian political, economic, and social life, but not a viable one.'

We believe that the conventional wisdom is, in some important respects, correct in that appeals to ethnicity in Gambian politics have been mostly unsuccessful or sublimated into other distinctions. Historically, the most significant fault line in Gambian politics has been the divide between the greater Banjul area and the rest of the country, which maps onto the colonial-era differentiation of the Colony and the Protectorate. This divide has created cross-

constituency and cross-party co-operation in Parliament after independence between the Banjul area and the upcountry (Wiseman 1991: 87). It also largely translates into an urban/rural divide, though there are pockets of urban life outside of the Banjul metropolitan area.<sup>4</sup>

However, as rare as ethnicity as a mobilising factor seems to be in Gambian politics, it has loomed large as a kind of shadow presence. There is a long history in The Gambia of accusatory politics of ethnicity in the form of the strategic and affective deployment of tribal slurs. We will briefly discuss the run-up to The Gambia's independence from the UK in 1965, followed by a discussion of the Jawara (1965-1994) and Jammeh (1994-2017) eras. As we will see, there was a turning point towards the end of the Jammeh-era: during the last years of his presidency, ethnicity was exploited publicly in a more inflammatory fashion than earlier and it took the form of accusations of narrow, ethnically motivated political projects directed at his political opponents. This accusatory rhetoric was a strategy that must be understood against the background of the relative success of The Gambia's first post-colonial leadership in downplaying ethnicity in the political arena.

In the run-up to independence, ethnic labels, insofar as they were used in political rhetoric, reflected the division of Gambia into the Colony and the Protectorate, made up of the in-land and up-river rural area that held the absolute majority of the population. The colonial administration was concentrated in the Colony and administrative positions were dominated by Aku and urban Wolof (and these groups dominated Gambian 'high society' generally). In the Protectorate, in contrast, ethnic Mandinka (also spelled Mandinko or Mandingo) were in the majority. Political parties were initially based in the urban area. Pierre Sarr N'jie, an educated Wolof urbanite, founded the United Party (UP) in 1954 (Hughes & Perfect 2006:

124) and ‘was the first to make use of ethnicity as a means of widening his political base’ beyond the Colony (Hughes 1982: 67). Importantly, insofar as this appeal to ethnicity was successful, it was as a negative identity, not a positive one; he ultimately sought to base the party less in an affirmative identity but in what it was not. At first, N’jie tried to appeal to Wolof communal sensibilities (see e.g. Hughes & Perfect 2006: 124, 145–146) but given their small numbers in the Protectorate he transitioned to an ‘anti-Mandinka coalition of the numerically smaller communities; bringing together Fula, Serahuli and Wolof, but not the Jola, who chose to identify politically with the Mandinka’ (Hughes 1982: 67-68; see also Rice 1967: 338-39). Even so, the UP candidates were ethnically diverse, and in the 1962-election, as many as many as a third (ten in number) of those who ran for UP were Mandinka (Hughes and Perfect 2006: 152–153).<sup>5</sup>

N’jie’s attempts to curry favour with (non-Mandinka) rural Gambians did not give him a huge following. In contrast, Jawara, along with other young Mandinka men, profited on the anti-elite and anti-colonial sentiments in the protectorate. The PPP, founded in 1959, became a rural political movement, a ‘green uprising’ (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 134–159), that aimed to shift access to economic and political resources from the Colony and its population to the provinces. It appealed to pro-Mandinka communalism and anti-Wolof sentiments alike, though it was not explicitly framed as an anti-Wolof (or pro-Mandinka) movement. During its early years, the party had an almost entirely Mandinka leadership but it also became popular among Jola (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 145). Moreover, the colonially inherited resource divide between the urban core and the countryside was recreated as a political division only partly along ethnic lines. The PPP secured a victory in the election in 1962, led The Gambia to independence in 1965, and won every election till Yahya Jammeh seized power.



The leader of UP, Pierre Sarr Njie, soon charged the PPP with ‘using anti-Wolof slogans, while apparently adopting an anti-Mandinka stance itself’ (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 153), but accusations of ‘tribalism’ also went in the opposite direction, from the PPP towards UP. However, from the start of PPP rule, Jawara made an effort to unite the former Protectorate and Colony, at least in part because of political pragmatism and the recognition that The Gambia’s heterogeneity necessitated support from multiple ethnic groups (Ceesay 2006: 47).<sup>6</sup> National reconciliation replaced the rural stamp of the PPP, and the Mandinka-element was consciously downplayed (cf. Hughes & Perfect 2006: 168–171). This was done so consistently by Jawara that it created tensions within his own party, both from its founding fathers and the youth. In 1975, a disgruntled rural, Mandinka opposition in PPP broke out and established the National Convention Party (NCP), headed by Jawara’s former vice-president Sheriff Dibba—reportedly in part due to frustration with Jawara’s inclusion of urban Wolof and other minority ethnic groups, although personal schisms and ambitions likely played a significant role as well (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 171, 187–190. However, this party realignment did not lead to a change in voting patterns along ethnic lines, not in the 1977 and 1982 general elections, nor in the 1979 council elections in urban Serrekunda (Wiseman 1985). President Jawara continued to downplay ethnicity in his own ranks and his government also condemned tribalist tendencies in public media. One incident that exemplifies this approach concerns Bella Jeng, a famous oral historian (griot) living in Banjul, who regularly spoke on the state-run Radio Gambia. In a broadcast in the late 1980s, Jeng echoed discriminatory formulations of P. S. N’jie when he held that Gambia’s ‘problems’ and the reasons for developmental malaise were the ‘three Ms’: Mosquitos, Monkeys and Mandinko. The then Information Minister, Lamin Saho demanded he apologise for the statement.<sup>7</sup> Accusations of tribalism were voiced explicitly in the run up to

the 1962 general election, between the PPP and N'jie's UP reciprocally (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 153). In the 1977 election, NCP was thought of in both rural and urban areas as a party favouring Mandinka and the PPP accused the NCP of antagonism toward non-Mandinka (Hughes & Perfect 2006: 193). With reference to the 1987 elections, Hughes and Perfect note that 'The PPP's portrayal of the NCP as a Mandinka "tribalist" party and Dikka as an ethnic champion, rather than as a genuine national leader ... was widely accepted by the electorate' (2006: 237).

The downplaying of ethnicity in Gambian politics following independence reflected the ideological line promoted at the founding of the Organisation of African Unity where nationhood was to be tied to the territory, rather than to ethnicity. The Gambia's first post-colonial leadership's efforts in downplaying ethnicity in the political arena was successful, on par with that of Senegal (Lambert 1998: 587). Accusations of tribalism in the Jawara-era attributed narrow-minded agendas to others, and simultaneously, turned this charge into a form of moral and political defamation. Thus, the explosiveness of the charge was not to put the concerns of one ethnic group over another, but to be insufficiently deferential to the trans-ethnic or supra-tribal ambition of the post-colonial nation-building project (cf. Apter 2008: 108-09, on the drive to override ethnic particularism in post-independence Nigeria). Saine's (2009: 24) description of the nature of Jawara's rule as 'sembocracy,' a portmanteau consisting of *sembo* (Mandinka for 'power' or 'force') and democracy, well captures this coexistence of de facto Mandinka pre-eminence and avowals of a trans-ethnic body politic. As Hughes (1975) has argued, furthermore, the PPP leadership and the President should be distinguished, as Jawara faced internal criticism for recruiting non-Mandinka who had not taken part in the independence struggle to ministerial posts. Thus, the notion of Sembocracy

conveys this debate, and a popular suspicion directed at Mandinka of hidden political agendas, that surfaced in Jammeh's later rhetoric.<sup>8</sup>

#### ETHNICITY AND POLITICS DURING THE SECOND REPUBLIC

In 1994, Yahya Jammeh, a lieutenant in the Gambia National Army, seized power along with a few other junior officers. The justification for the coup was the alleged corruption of the Jawara government, although intra-military politics also played a role (see Dwyer 2017; Hughes & Perfect 2006; Saine 1996; Wiseman & Vidler 1995). The coup makers and the leadership of the subsequently formed Armed Forces Provisional Ruling Council came from different ethnic groups and religions, and a couple of them had non-Gambian ancestry (one, Edward Singhateh, had an English mother, another, Sadibou Hy dara, was of Mauritanian descent) (Perfect 2016: 207, 397; Wiseman 1996: 929-21). Jammeh, a member of the Jola ethnic group, and the rest of the AFPRC government did not embark on any overt attempts to mobilise ethnic group(s) or in any way 'ethnicise' Gambian politics. In fact, section 104(4) of the *Elections Decree 1996* proclaimed by Jammeh's government explicitly prohibited the formation of political parties on 'sectional, religious, ethnic or regional lines.' This prohibition was lifted from the 1969 draft constitution and carried forward to the 1997 constitution (it was not included in the 1970 constitution, however).

The campaigns leading to the 1996 election, in which Jammeh prevailed, did not feature appeals to ethnicity, nor did the 1997 parliamentary election (Hughes 2000). Ethnicity did play more of a role in the 2001 election, although not in the form of explicit appeals for ethnic coalition building. In the lead-up to the 2001 election, as well as subsequent ones, there were frequent allegations that Jammeh encouraged Jola from Senegal's restive

Casamance region to vote illegally in The Gambia, on the presumption that they would support Jammeh and the APRC. It is almost certainly the case that such ballot stuffing took place, but it is less certain that it had any noticeable impact on the results (Perfect & Hughes 2013; Saine 2008; see also Hultin 2008, on the persistent rumours of Senegalese Jola interference in The Gambia's electoral process). The worries over Jammeh using his Jola ethnicity to cement his rule were compounded by the seeming privileging of Jola in appointments to key positions in the security services (Dwyer 2017). And even if, as Ceesay notes, hard evidence of favoritism toward the Jola (or any other group) is difficult to come by, it is also the case that Jammeh's government 'did little to stifle reports of favoritism' (Ceesay 2006: 201). These reports aside, in the 2006 election Jammeh won in constituencies across the country, including areas that were largely Mandinka speaking, making an ethnic voting pattern not easily discernible and possibly suggesting that Jammeh did better among the Mandinka than in previous elections (Saine 2008).

It bears noting that Jammeh also invoked identity-politics in a way that does not fit the rubric of ethnicity but that nonetheless was reliant on tropes of 'us' versus 'them' (where the 'them' is both external and internal). Jammeh carefully cultivated an image of himself as a devout Muslim and used state resources to promote the building of mosques, facilitate Gambian participation in the *hajj*, and so on. He condemned acts deemed 'un-Islamic,' ranging from immodest dress to 'baby dumping' (Saine 2002: 169). Jammeh also promoted closer ties with Saudi Arabia and the more austere Wahhabi school of Islam through educational exchanges and the appointment of the Wahhabi-trained Imam Abdoulie Fatty as his spiritual advisor (Darboe 2004: 77). Fatty was the main critic of the Ahmadiyya, a minority Islamic group that has existed in The Gambia since the 1950s and whose leaders were expelled by Jammeh in, as Hughes (2000: 48) put it, 'the only example of a state action against religious

minorities' under the first few years' of Jammeh's rule. This act aside, Jammeh did resist further marginalisation of the Ahmadiyya and he dismissed Imam Fatty in 2014, and, in 2015, impounded his passport, ostensibly in part because of Fatty's attacks on the Ahmadiyya (*Rabwah Times* 3.11.2015; see also Perfect 2016: 143-44). Jammeh also made repeated calls for the introduction of Shari'a in The Gambia (presumably for criminal matters) and, at the end of 2015 Jammeh unconstitutionally declared the country an Islamic republic (*BBC News* 12.12.2015). Jammeh's ad hoc approach to religion, with his appeals to more conservative strains of Islam in fits and starts, arguably sharpened religious differences (cf. Ceesay 2006: 201; Janson 2014: 49-50). These religious claims did not, however, translate into any kind of political program or gain.

Towards the end of Jammeh's rule, and especially in the lead-up to the 2016 election, Jammeh invoked ethnicity in a more frequent and direct way (Sommerfelt 2016). It took the form of accusations of tribalism that now pinned Mandinka against Jola, as opposed to the colonial and immediate post-colonial periods, when accusations were primarily exchanged between Mandinka, aligned with Jola, and urban Wolof (cf. Hughes 1982: 67-68; Hughes & Perfect 2006). During a political rally in June 2016, Jammeh sought to disqualify the political opposition by describing it in ethnic terms, and said that he would have these opponents killed: 'I will wipe you out and nothing will come out of it. The first demonstration; they were all Mandinkas. The second demonstration were Mandinkas and two Fullas [sic]. The Fullas have joined the bad guys; welcome to hell' (quoted in *Freedom Newspaper* 4.6.2016). In the same speech, Mandinka were described as foreigners: 'In 1864, there were no Mandinkas in this country. You came from Mali. I will not allow foreigners to destroy this country.' Adama Jeng, The United Nations Special Advisor on the Prevention of Genocide,

condemned the statements a few days later, expressing profound alarm (United Nations Secretary-General 2016).

Jammeh's attempts to ferment anti-Mandinka sentiment may indeed have backfired as a contributing factor to his surprise loss in the 2016 presidential election (Hultin *et al.* 2017). In the final result, Barrow received 43% of the national vote, compared to 40% for Jammeh and 17% for Kandeh (leader of GDC, Gambia Democratic Congress). Barrow won 27 out of 53 constituencies, with Jammeh winning 21 and Kandeh five (results are available from Independent Electoral Commission 2018). Jammeh scored runaway victories in the Foni constituencies, the traditional Jola heartland (the average margin of victory for Jammeh in these five constituencies was 68%). Other areas of Jammeh success included one of three constituencies comprising Banjul (Barrow won the other two Banjul constituencies with more than 10%), parts of the area surrounding Kerewan (a major population centre on the north bank of the Gambia River), around Janjanbureh (a large town in the centre of the country), and around Basse, in the Western extremity of The Gambia. Barrow for his part, had his most sizable victories in the coastal city of Bakau as well as in the area around Mansakonko. In short, with the partial exception of Jammeh's success in the Jola heartland, the electoral map is so scrambled that it seems unlikely that Jammeh's anti-Mandinka ploy was successful and that different ethnic groups gravitated to different parties based on ethnic appeals.<sup>9</sup>

#### ETHNIC RHETORIC AND TRIBALISM IN THE NEW GAMBIA

Even if Jammeh's tribalist rhetoric was unsuccessful, it was nonetheless part of a strategy of delegitimising political interest groups in a way that must be understood against the

background of the earlier efforts and relative success of The Gambia's first post-colonial leadership in downplaying ethnicity in politics. After the 2016 presidential election and change in government, ethnicity seems to have become a recurrent theme in public debate and politics, notable as much in the consistent downplaying of it and in the allegation of others using it. The Barrow government has, on the whole, sought to minimise the saliency of ethnicity while blaming Jammeh's regime (and by implication, current Jammeh loyalists) for drumming up ethnic animosity. An example of this is the statement delivered by the Attorney General, Abubacarr Tambadou, at the inauguration of the country's Truth, Reconciliation, and Reparations Commission (TRRC). According to Tambadou, Jammeh had bequeathed to the new government a 'deeply polarized society based on ethnic and political considerations,' which had 'simmered beneath the façade of peaceful co-existence' (quoted in *The Fatu Network* 15.10.2018). The solution to this ethnic tension, Tambadou further suggested, was simply to reassure all Gambians of the reliability of the rule of law.

The asserted irrelevance of ethnicity is also seen in some of the landmark legal developments under Barrow. In the *Truth, Reconciliation, and Reparations Commission Act 2017*, ethnicity is not considered a variable in the transitional justice calculations in that there are no allowances for ensuring ethnically equitable representation beyond a rather anodyne statement in paragraph 4(2) that the president of the country 'shall have regard to the geographical, religious, cultural and gender diversity of The Gambia.' The other two major laws that, together with the TRRC Act, arguably constitute the legal foundation of the New Gambia, use even weaker language: the *Constitutional Review Commission Act 2017* simply calls for regard to the 'geographical, professional, age and gender diversity of The Gambia' (para. 5(2)), while the *National Human Rights Commission Act 2017* has no such language at all. These documents follow a long tradition of Gambian law to be virtually silent on

matters of ethnicity beyond a minimalist interpretation of non-discrimination (and the aforementioned ban on ethnically based political parties). Other notable examples include the *Local Government Act 2002*, a sweeping act that significantly reconfigured how The Gambia is administered. It simply prohibits a) discrimination on ethnic grounds in the election of local government officials and b) tasks the country's Independent Electoral Commission to not take ethnic status into account when delineating constituencies (part III, para. 17(5) and part II, para. 6(1), respectively). While, like many other African countries, The Gambia recognises customary and religiously-based law as valid in a limited range of circumstances, the net result is that ethnicity (or 'tribe') is not considered a significant, nor legitimate, constituent element in the Gambian body-politic.<sup>10</sup> This approach is in contrast to other African countries that have given a more robust expression to their pluri-ethnic composition in law and policy. In Nigeria, for example, the federal character principle has been 'translated into a constitutional commitment, formulated primarily in ethnic and regionalist terms' (Kendhammer 2014: 11). Burundi's 2005 constitution sets forth ethnic quotas for its legislative body (Gilbert 2013: 423). Even Rwanda, which has effectively banned the rhetoric of ethnicity in public life since the genocide, acknowledges in its constitution that there are 'historically marginalized communities' (quoted in Gilbert 2013: 419).

The legal silence on ethnicity at first seems partly contradicted by The Gambia's electoral experience since Jammeh's departure. As mentioned in the introduction to this article, the EU Election Observation Commission commented on the appearance of 'political tribalism' in connection with the 2017 Parliamentary elections, and highlighted 'UDP appeals for the support of the Mandinka and the Manjago communities in Kanifing, in West Coast Region and in Lower River Region. The EU EOM also observed efforts by the GDC to call for the



votes of the Fula community in the Lower River Region' (European Union Election Observation Mission 2017: 20). These observations are consistent with the surge in ethnic references ahead of and following the 2017 elections, though, as before, the vociferousness of the ethnic discourse outpaces actual mobilisation.

What is frequently occurring is that public accusations of tribalism appear in allegations of attempts to monopolise power. In turn, responses to these accusations take the form of public outcries against the persons making them, in which the accusations are conceptualised as tribal insults. In the main, accusations continue to be directed at the Jola and APRC (the party of former President Jammeh) by opponents to APRC, on the one hand, and at UDP and the Mandinka by opponents to UDP, on the other. The other Gambian political parties and ethnic groups, including large proportions of Mandinka and UDP members, remain mostly above the fray (but see below). What is further striking is that even though this public rhetoric does occasionally translate into episodes of violence or unruliness, it is swiftly and roundly condemned and, furthermore, these condemnations escalate in a sort-of one-upmanship based on disavowals of tribalism. In particular, a group of young UDP followers are regularly held to be promoting Mandinka as saviours of the nation (that is, saviours from Jammeh). Clashes between what is referred to as youth 'militants' of the UDP and APRC supporters have occurred in both 2017 and 2018 (see, for example, *Freedom Newspaper* 3.4.2017; *The Point* 10.4.2017). Criticism has been directed at the founder and current leader of the UDP, Ousainou Darboe, for not condemning more explicitly these party elements, and he is regularly referred to as a Mandinka tribalist in social media (*The Point* 16.5.2018).<sup>11</sup> Also, tensions within the UDP party between Darboe and President Adama Barrow (who technically left the UDP in the lead-up to the 2016 elections because of electoral requirements, but remained closely associated with UDP until the split with Darboe and the

launch of the Barrow-centric National Peoples Party at the end of 2019; see, e.g. *Africanews* 11.2.2020) are veiled in ethnic rhetoric. Darboe was until recently the vice president under Barrow but was replaced in what seems to be a power struggle between Barrow and Darboe (*Freedom Newspaper* 7.12.2018).<sup>12</sup> A popular understanding (shared separately with both authors), is that Ousainou Darboe was promoting fellow Mandinka to important posts, for example to The Gambia's embassies, during his time as foreign minister (see also *Jollof News* 15.3.2019). Barrow, in contrast, is said to attempt to build a broader coalition across ethnic lines, appealing to former members of the APRC and nurturing a profile as a national bridge builder. During 2019, much political discussion in the country has focused on the possibility of introducing a presidential run-off system akin to what is practiced in France. One underlying assumption, shared with Hultin by a political activist, is that such a system would allow the smaller non-Barrow parties (i.e. not Darboe's UDP) to team up to exclude UDP from the run-off, thereby minimising the risk of a Mandinka hold on the presidency

These assumptions echo both the notion of Sembocracy earlier voiced against Dawda Jawara's PPP-government, and the suspicions, expressed by Jammeh against his opponents, of a drive toward ethnically based government. Conversely, the Jola ethnic identity is often equated with loyalty to the APRC—the party of the former President (see Hultin 2020). In a 2017 shooting incident at Jammeh's hometown of Kanilai (*The Daily Observer* 5.6.2017), protesters demonstrated against what they saw as a singling out of the Foni area, and against the way that the ECOWAS forces (ECOMIG) related to the local population—especially regarding the holding of cattle entering the ECOMIG camp area and arrests of locals reclaiming the cattle. Rumours held that the reason why ECOMIG forces opened fire with live ammunition was fear of the presence of rebels from Casamance among the demonstrators – fellow Jola of Foni residents – but the rumours were never substantiated.

However, rather than the promotion of political claims based on arguments about ethnic or other discrimination, the most salient feature of invocations of ethnicity in public debate is the articulation of fears of the monopolisation of political power. In the case of moral outrage over accusations of ethnic political agendas voiced against Mandinka, however, an added dimension links the slur to implicit defence of former violence: ‘To offend Mandinko is to repeat Jammeh’s words,’ one former senior civil servant told Sommerfelt in January 2019, before continuing that it demonstrates ‘lack of repent’ over atrocities of the Jammeh era: ‘They dance on the graves of our loved ones who died.’ This was particularly acute in January and February 2019, when the TRRC process was instigated. Moreover, accusations of tribalism trigger counter-accusations, all of which are accompanied by competitive claims that disqualify particular persons and groups as legitimate political beings. In this discourse, ethnic relations constitute immoral relations, similar to Werbner’s portrayal of permeable ethnicities constituting moral relations (Werbner 2004: 69).

An attempt to disqualify political and moral being through an accusation of tribalism permeated the case of Gambia’s first arrest for hate speech. In September 2018, Lie Saine, a former member of the National Assembly for APRC, was held and charged for incitement to violence and seditious intention. The arrest followed the circulation through WhatsApp of a 21 minutes long recording in Wolof, in which Saine spoke his mind on the state of the nation. Most media outlets summarise the statements as depictions of Mandinka as ‘selfish, hypocritical and wicked’ (*The Point* 11.9.2018). The recording, though, follows the same pattern of anticipatory tribalism as pointed out above: During the first five minutes of the WhatsApp track, Saine ascribes to Mandinka people a future objective to monopolise power, in spite of The Gambia belonging to all Gambians. He describes Mandinka as immoral,

shameless, as dogs, and predicts Mandinka violence (or ‘fighting’) in what he anticipates to be future attempts to seize control of the country. Mandinka will lead the country into chaos, according to Saine. Capping his diatribe, Saine calls for the return of Jammeh as president and explicitly states that he prefers ‘dictatorship’ to the chaos and troubles of ‘democracy.’ The recording does not contain promotion of any particular ethnicities but is rich in derogatory statements about Senegalese, Europeans, and others whose ambitions, once again, he ties to self-serving ‘interest.’

In conversations in both urban and rural areas in January and February 2019, most people we spoke with condemned Lie Saine’s statements, but some, at the same time, claimed that Saine put into words the fears prompted by UDP’s alleged political ambitions to dominate the country, partly with reference to UDP youth. They accused the state of selective justice, a grievance that is also expressed in some local media (see, for example, *Foroyaa* 10.9.2018; *Unifying Radio* 3.9.2018). Moreover, competitive accusations of tribalism, foregrounds notions of moral and immoral government —selflessness and selfishness — that entangles ethnicity with the political and the moral in a way that questions the ability to rule legitimately. Accusations are forward looking, warning against persons, ethnic groups or political parties being tribalists at heart.

Although the fulcrums of this anticipatory, moral politics of ethnicity is to a significant extent the APRC/Jola and UDP/Mandinka it is important to note that other groups participate in accusations and condemnation. While fears over, say, a Fula or Serahuli hegemony are rarer—as are worries that one of the smaller political parties will somehow take over—that does not prevent members of those groups to tut-tut the allegedly tribalist machinations of others. An illustrative example is the interview with a former PDOIS candidate for a

municipal seat published by *The Standard* newspaper (13.4.2017). In the interview, the politician-cum-teacher named Kebba Drammeh responded directly to the previously mentioned allegation in the EU report that the GDC and UDP had canvassed along ethnic lines. According to the article, Drammeh had expressed the view that ‘tribal politics is only used by backward politicians whose ideas are primitive [and] dangerous to society.’ The article’s quotes Kebba Drammeh as saying that ‘What should (sic) be our focus is Gambianess as there no is (sic) Mandinka passport, Fula passport, Jola passport or Wollof passport. It is only a Gambian passport meaning that Gambia comes first.’

#### CONCLUSION

Examples across the continent demonstrate that discourses on ‘tribalism’ that entail derogatory labels and convey resentment of particular ethnicities may encompass ideas of ethnic superiority. In the Gambian case, however, such ideas rarely surface in the public sphere. Quite to the contrary, claims of superiority or claims to resources with reference to ethnic belonging, currently define the political fringes, those largely dismissed as morally unfit for public office. In The Gambia, the volume of ‘tribalism’ in public debate is increased by its anticipatory dimension: repeated accusations of hidden agendas of political take-over that are feared will materialise in systems of ethnic privilege in the future. Moreover, upsurges in ethnic references in electoral politics do not necessarily evidence political mobilisation within distinct and all-encompassing groups. While, to an extent, features of The Gambia’s independence history—the strong individual efforts of Jawara to side line ethnic politics, the invisibility of ethnicity in the country’s constitutional arrangement, and so on—explains this difference, research on other African countries (e.g. Jackson 2006), suggests that the processes we have identified are more widely applicable.

It is to address this seeming disconnect between the volume of tribalism talk and the extent of existing mobilisations that we propose to think of Gambian ethnic politics in terms of anticipatory moral politics. This has the benefit of offering an exploration of these dynamics that captures the political effects of moral accusation, without taking identitarian frameworks for granted. In the same way, it does not preclude that political mobilisation within pockets of ethnic groups (or ethnic mobilisation within wings of political parties) can also happen—indeed, recent developments in The Gambia suggest that at least some of the worries of an emergent Mandinka youth bloc are credible. A focus on accusatory and anticipatory politics rather than political mobilisation of ethnicity in The Gambia highlights a continuously ongoing process of negotiation and redrawing of boundaries of moral politics and decency, in a manner not possible during the former president. What has bubbled to the surface when an autocrat has left political power, then, is an ethnic discourse that foregrounds moral and immoral relations in the body politic—present and in a potential future—as much as primordial identities.

#### REFERENCES

- Apter, A. 2008. *The Pan-African Nation: oil and the spectacle of culture in Nigeria*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Arthur, P. 2009. 'Ethnicity and electoral politics in Ghana's Fourth Republic', *Africa Today*, 56, 2: 44–73.
- Banks, M. 1996. *Ethnicity: anthropological constructions*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Basedau, M., G. Erdmann, J. Lay & A. Stroh. 2011. 'Ethnicity and party preference in sub-Saharan Africa', *Democratization*, 18, 2: 462–89.

- Berman, B.J. 1998. 'Ethnicity, patronage and the African state: the politics of uncivil nationalism', *African Affairs*, 97, 388: 305–41.
- Bryant, R. & D. M. Knight. 2019. *The Anthropology of the Future*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Carney, J. & M. Watts. 1991. 'Disciplining women? Rice, mechanization, and the evolution of Mandinka gender relations in Senegambia', *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 16, 4: 651–81.
- Carrier, N. & H.H. Kochore. 2014. 'Navigating ethnicity and electoral politics in northern Kenya: the case of the 2013 election', *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, 8, 1: 135–52.
- Ceesay, E. J. 2006. *The Military and 'Democratisation' in The Gambia: 1994-2003*. Oxford: Trafford Publishing.
- Chabal, P. 2013. *Africa: the politics of suffering and smiling*. London: Zed Books.
- Chandra, K. 2006. 'What is ethnic identity and does it matter?', *Annual Review of Political Science*, 9, 1: 397–424.
- Cheeseman, N. & R. Ford. 2007. *Ethnicity as a Political Cleavage*. Cape Town: Afrobarometer.
- Daley, P. 2006. 'Ethnicity and political violence in Africa: the challenge to the Burundi state', *Political Geography*, 25, 6: 657–79.
- Darboe, M. 2004. 'Gambia', *African Studies Review*, 47, 2: 73–82.
- Davidheiser, M. 2006. 'Joking for peace. social organization, tradition, and change in Gambian conflict management', *Cahiers d'études africaines*, 46, 184: 835–59.
- Dwyer, M. 2017. 'Fragmented forces: the development of the Gambian military', *African Security Review*, 26, 4: 362–77.
- Eifert, B., E. Miguel & D.N. Posner. 2010. 'Political competition and ethnic identification in Africa', *American Journal of Political Science*, 54, 2: 495–510.
- Ekeh, P. 1975. 'Colonialism and the two publics in Africa: a theoretical statement', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 17, 1: 91–112.

- Englund, H. 1996. 'Witchcraft, modernity and the person: the morality of accumulation in Central Malawi', *Critique of Anthropology*, 16, 3: 257–79.
- European Union Election Observation Mission. 2017. *Final report: The Gambia: National Assembly elections, 6 April 2018*. Brussels: European Union.
- Gaibazzi, P. 2015. *Bush Bound: young men and rural permanents in migrant West Africa*. New York, NY: Berghahn.
- Gambia Bureau of Statistics. n.d. *2013 Population and Housing Census: Spatial Distribution*. Banjul, The Gambia: Gambia Bureau of Statistics.
- Gilbert, J. 2013. 'Constitutionalism, ethnicity and minority rights in Africa: a legal appraisal from the Great Lakes region', *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 11, 2: 414–37.
- Handelman, Don. 1977. 'The organization of ethnicity', *Ethnic Groups*, 1: 187–200.
- Hartmann, C. 2017. 'ECOWAS and the restoration of democracy in The Gambia', *Africa Spectrum*, 52, 1: 85–99.
- Hughes, A. 1975. 'From green uprising to national reconciliation: the Peoples' Progressive Party in the Gambia 1959-1973', *Canadian Journal of African Studies / Revue canadienne des études africaines*, 9, 1: 61–74.
- Hughes, A. 1982. 'The limits of 'consociational democracy' in The Gambia', *Civilisations*, 32/33, 65–96: 65.
- Hughes, A. 2000. "'Democratisation' under the military in The Gambia: 1994–2000", *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 38, 3: 35–52.
- Hughes, A. & D. Perfect. 2006. *A Political History of The Gambia, 1816–1994*. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Hultin, N. 2008. 'Voter registration cards, political subjectivity, and trust in paper in the Gambia', *Journal of Legal Anthropology*, 1, 1: 70–91.
- Hultin, N. 2020. 'Waiting and political transitions: anticipating the new Gambia', *Critical African Studies*, doi: 10.1080/21681392.2019.1697310



- Hultin, N., B. Jallow, B.N. Lawrance & A. Sarr. 2017. 'Autocracy, migration, and the Gambia's 'unprecedented' 2016 election', *African Affairs*, 116, 463: 321–40.
- Independent Electoral Commission. 2016. 'Presidential Election. Final results by constituencies,' updated 16.4.2018. <http://iec.gm/download/presidential-election-results-1st-december-2016/>, accessed 28.5.2019.
- Jackson, S. 2006. 'Sons of which soil? The language and politics of autochthony in Eastern D.R. Congo', *African Studies Review*, 49, 2: 95–123.
- Janson, M. 2014. *Islam, Youth and Modernity in the Gambia: the Tablighi Jama'at*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Johansen, M.-L., T. Sandrup & N. Weiss. 2018. 'Introduction: the generative power of political emotions', *Conflict and Society*, 4, 1: 1–8.
- Kea, P. 2010. *Land, Labour and Entrustment: West African female farmers and the politics of difference*. Leiden: Brill.
- Kendhammer, B. 2014. 'Citizenship, federalism and powersharing: Nigeria's federal character and the challenges of institutional design', *Ethnopolitics*, 13, 4: 396–417.
- Kora, S. & M.N. Darboe. 2017. 'The Gambia's electoral earthquake', *Journal of Democracy*, 28, 2: 147–56.
- Lambert, M.C. 1998. 'Violence and the war of words: ethnicity v. nationalism in the Casamance', *Africa: Journal of the International African Institute*, 68, 4: 585–602.
- Lau, J.D. & I.R. Scales. 2016. 'Identity, subjectivity and natural resource use: How ethnicity, gender and class intersect to influence mangrove oyster harvesting in The Gambia', *Geoforum*, 69: 136–46.
- Lieberman, E.S. & G.H. McClendon. 2012. 'The ethnicity–policy preference link in sub-Saharan Africa', *Comparative Political Studies*, 46, 5: 574–602.
- Lonsdale, J. 1994. 'Moral ethnicity and political tribalism', in Kaarsholm, P. & J. Hultin, eds. *Inventions and Boundaries: historical and anthropological approaches to the study of ethnicity and nationalism*. Roskilde, Denmark: International Development Institute.

- Mhlanga, B. 2013. 'Ethnicity or tribalism? The discursive construction of Zimbabwean national identity', *African Identities*, 11, 1: 47–60.
- Müller, J.-W. 2009. *Constitutional Patriotism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Nugent, P. 2008. 'Putting the history back into ethnicity: enslavement, religion, and cultural brokerage in the construction of Mandinka/Jola and Ewe/Agotime identities in West Africa, c. 1650–1930', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 50, 4: 920–48.
- Onoma, A.K. 2017. 'The making of dangerous communities: the "Peul-Fouta" in Ebola-weary Senegal', *Africa Spectrum*, 52, 2: 29–51.
- Paul, J. 2018. "'Not black and white, but black and red': anti-identity identity politics and #AllLivesMatter', *Ethnicities*, 19, 1: 3–19.
- Perfect, D. 2016. *Historical Dictionary of The Gambia*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Perfect, D. 2017. 'The Gambian 2016 Presidential Election and its Aftermath', *The Round Table*, 106, 3: 323–37.
- Perfect, D. & A. Hughes. 2013. 'Gambian electoral politics: 1960-2012', in Saine, A., E. Ceesay & E. Sall, eds. *State and society in The Gambia since independence: 1965-2012*. Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press, 79–112.
- Posen, B.R. 1993. 'The security dilemma and ethnic conflict', *Survival*, 35, 1: 27–47.
- Rice, B. 1967. *Enter Gambia: the birth of an improbable nation*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Rose, W. 2000. 'The security dilemma and ethnic conflict: some new hypotheses', *Security Studies*, 9, 4: 1–51.
- Saine, A. 1996. "The Coup D'État in the Gambia, 1994: The End of the First Republic." *Armed Forces & Society* 23, 1: 97–111.
- Saine, A. 2002. 'Post-Coup Politics in the Gambia', *Journal of Democracy*, 13, 4: 167–72.
- Saine, A. 2008. 'The Gambia's 2006 presidential election: change or continuity?', *African Studies Review*, 51, 1: 59–83.
- Saine, A., E. Ceesay & E. Sall (eds.) 2013. *State and Society in The Gambia Since Independence: 1965–2012*, Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press.

- Saine, A.S. 2009. *The Paradox of Third-Wave Democratization in Africa: the Gambia under AFPRC-APRC rule, 1994-2008*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Sarr, A. 2016. *Islam, Power, and Dependency in the Gambia River Basin: the politics of land control, 1790-1940*. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Sommerfelt, T. 2013a. 'Choreographies of proximity and distance: marriage among rural Wolof-speakers in contemporary Gambia'. PhD, University of Oslo.
- Sommerfelt, T. 2013b. 'From cutting to fading: a relational perspective on marriage exchange and sociality in rural Gambia', *Social Analysis*, 57, 3: 58–75.
- Sommerfelt, T. 2016. 'Yahya Jammeh's tribalism', *Africa Is a Country*, 26.12.2016. <<https://africasacountry.com/2016/12/yahya-jammehs-tribalism>>, accessed 18.8.2019.
- Thomson, S. 2011. 'Revisiting "Mandigization" in coastal Gambia and Casamance (Senegal): Four approaches to ethnic change', *African Studies Review*, 54, 2: 95–121.
- United Nations Secretary-General. 2016. 'Note to correspondents – Statement by Special Advisor on the Prevention of Genocide', 10.6.2016. <<https://www.un.org/sg/en/content/sg/note-correspondents/2016-06-10/note-correspondents-statement-special-adviser-prevention>>, accessed 12.8.2019.
- Vigh, H. 2015. 'Social invisibility and political opacity: on perceptiveness and apprehension in Bissau', in Cooper, E. & D. Pratten, eds. *Ethnographies of Uncertainty in Africa*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 111–28.
- Werbner, R. 2004. *Reasonable Radicals and Citizenship in Botswana: the public anthropology of Kalanga elites*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Wikileaks. 2006. 'Gambian presidential election – candidate profiles', 5.9.2006. <[https://wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/06BANJUL548\\_a.html](https://wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/06BANJUL548_a.html)>, accessed 19.9.2019.
- Wiseman, J.A. 1985. 'The social and economic bases of party political support in Serekunda, The Gambia', *The Journal of Commonwealth and Comparative Politics* 23, 1: 3–29.

- Wiseman, J.A. 1991. 'The role of the house of representatives in The Gambian political system', in Hughes, A., ed. *The Gambia: studies in society and politics*. Birmingham: Centre of West African Studies, University of Birmingham, 80–91.
- Wiseman, J.A. 1996. 'Military rule in The Gambia: an interim assessment', *Third World Quarterly*, 17, 5: 917–40.
- Wiseman, J. A. & E. Vidler. 1995. 'The July 1994 Coup d'État in the Gambia: the end of an era.' *Round Table* 84 (333): 53–66.
- Wright, D.R. 1999. "'What do you mean there were no tribes in Africa"?: thoughts on boundaries—and related matters—in Africa', *History in Africa*, 26: 409–26.
- Wright, D.R. 2018. *The World and a Very Small Place in Africa: a history of globalization in Niimi, The Gambia*. Fourth edition, New York, NY: Routledge.
- Yeros, P. 1999. 'Toward a normative theory of ethnicity: reflections on constructivism', in Yeros, P., ed. *Ethnicity and Nationalism in Africa: constructivist reflections and contemporary politics*. New York, NY: Palgrave, 101–32.

#### ONLINE NEWSPAPERS AND NEWS OUTLETS

- Africanews*, 3.1.2020. 'Gambia president launches own party after rift with ruling coalition', by Abdur Rahman Alfa Shaban. < <https://www.africanews.com/2020/01/03/gambia-president-launches-own-party-after-rift-with-ruling-coalition/>>, accessed 11.2.2020.
- BBC News*, 12.12.2015. 'Gambia declared Islamic republic by President Yahya Jammeh'. <<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-35082343>>, accessed 19.8.2019.
- Foroyaa*, 10.9.2018. 'APRC opposed to tribal sentiments, but ... Tombong Jatta', by Kebba AF Touray. <<http://foroyaa.gm/aprc-opposed-to-tribal-sentiments-but-tombong-jatta/>>, accessed 19.8.2019.
- Freedom Newspaper*, 4.6.2016. "'The Gambia is not a Mandinka country'", by Pa Nderry M'Bai. <<https://www.freedomnewspaper.com/2016/06/04/gambia-gambia-the-gambia-is-not-a>

mandinka-country-jammeh-as-jammeh-brands-gambian-mandinkas-as-foreigners-says-he-is-going-to-kill-mandinka-protesters-like-a/>, accessed 19.8.2019.

*Freedom Newspaper*, 20.4.2017. ‘Disgruntled soldier accuses CDS Kinteh of running a tribal army’. <<https://www.freedomnewspaper.com/2017/04/20/gambia-disgruntled-soldier-accuses-cds-kinteh-of-running-a-tribal-army-allegations-of-corruption-rife-senegal-placed-on-notice-over-kintehs-alleged-discrimination-at-jollas-fullas-and-wollof-so/>>, accessed 19.8.2019.

*Freedom Newspaper*, 5.5.2017. ‘Sukuta man, accuses immigration agents of ‘ethnicity and citizenship’ profiling’. <<https://www.freedomnewspaper.com/2017/05/05/gambia-sukuta-man-accuses-immigration-agents-of-ethnic-and-citizenship-profiling/>>, accessed 19.8.2019.

*Freedom Newspaper*, 3.4.2017. ‘Three APRC Jolla girls detained by police after been (sic!) accused of assaulting UDP supporters’. <<https://www.freedomnewspaper.com/2017/04/03/gambia-three-aprc-jolla-girls-detained-by-police-after-been-accused-of-assaulting-udp-supporters/>>, accessed 22.8.2019.

*Freedom Newspaper*, 7.12.2018. ‘Vice President Darboe declares a war against ‘President Barrow and his camp bent on dividing the UDP’’. <<https://www.freedomnewspaper.com/2018/12/07/gambia-breaking-news-vice-president-darboe-declares-a-war-against-president-barrow-and-his-camp-bent-on-dividing-the-udp-into-two-camps-at-fridays-udp-congress/>>, accessed 22.8.2019.

*Jollof News*, 15.3.2019. ‘Vice President Darboe, two UDP ministers sacked’. <<https://theworldnews.net/gm-news/gambia-vice-president-darboe-two-udp-ministers-sacked>>, last accessed 13.1.2020.

*Rabwah Times*, 3.11.2015. ‘Gambian President impounds passport of anti-Ahmadiyya Imam’. <<https://www.rabwah.net/gambian-president-impounds-passport-of-anti-ahmadiyya-imam/>>, accessed 20.8.2018.

*The Daily Observer*, 5.6.2017. ‘Ecomig forces allegedly shoot protesters, kill one and injure nine at Kanilai’, by Momodou Jawo. <<https://allafrica.com/stories/201706050882.html>>, accessed 22.8.2019.

*The Fatu Network*, 15.10.2018. ‘Statement by Justice Minister Tambadou on the launching of the TRRC’. <<http://fatunetwork.net/statement-by-justice-minister-tambadou-on-the-launching-of-the-trrc>>, accessed 19.8.2018.

*The Point*, 10.4.2017. ‘APRC, UDP supporters clash in Sibanor’. <<http://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/article/aprc-udp-supporters-clash-in-sibanor>>, accessed 18.8.2019.

*The Point*, 11.9.2018. ‘Court warns Lie Saines family’. <<http://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/article/court-warns-lie-saines-family>>, accessed 19.8.2019.

*The Point*, 16.5.2018. ‘Darboe blamed for UDP-APRC clash in Tallinding’, <<http://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/article/darboe-blamed-for-udp-aprc-clash-in-tallinding>>, accessed 20.8.2019.

*The Standard*, 13.4.2017. ‘Teacher calls for end to tribal politics’, by Alagie Manneh. <<https://standard.gm/teacher-calls-end-tribal-politics/>>, last accessed 13.1.2020.

*Unifying Radio*, 3.9.2018. ‘The arrest of Hon. Abdoulie Saine is unconstitutional and tantamount to ‘kidnapping’ by the supposed democratic government of the so-called New-Gambia’, by Sulayman Shyngle Nyassi. <<http://unifyingradio.com/spotlight-column-the-arrest-of-hon-abdoulie-saine-is-unconstitutional-and-tantamount-to-kidnapping-by-the-supposed-democratic-government-of-the-so-called-new-gambia/>>, accessed 19.8.2019.

## STATUTES

*Gambia Armed Forces Act 1985*

*Elections Decree 1996*

*Local Government Act 2002*

*Constitutional Review Commission Act 2017*

*National Human Rights Commission Act 2017*

*Truth, Reconciliation, and Reparations Commission Act 2017*

## INTERVIEWS

Bella Jeng. Farafenni, 30.1.1996 and 1.7.1996.

Residents. Serrekunda, The Gambia. 13.1.2019.

Residents and construction workers. Kotu, The Gambia. 17.1.2019

Residents. Niumi, The Gambia. 20.1.2019.

Former Senior Civil Servant. Bakau, The Gambia. 8.2.2019.

Anonymous Political Activist. Serrekunda, The Gambia. 6.5.2019.

Sait Matty Jaw, Kotu, The Gambia, 8.6.2019.

## NOTES

<sup>1</sup>. Some of the online newspaper articles we refer to have been removed from the homepages of the papers by 2020. Dates of last access of the articles are included in the reference list.

<sup>2</sup>. In extremis, such anti-tribalism can be essentially conservative as it seeks to disqualify political criticism based on identity-based grievances, no matter how real. See, for instance, Paul's (2018) discussion of the "all lives matter" rejoinder to the Black Lives Matter movement.

<sup>3</sup>. For an early analytical framework that distinguishes between different dimensions of ethnic organisation and emphasises that ethnicity can take other forms than reified groups, see Handelman (1977). See also Banks (1996).

<sup>4</sup>. According to the 2013 census, 57.7% of the population is classified as urban; most of this population live in Kanifing and Brikama, the Local Government Areas adjacent to Banjul proper (Gambia Bureau of Statistics n.d.: 10). In the 2003 census this figure was 41% (Perfect 2016: 433).

<sup>5</sup>. We would like to thank one of the anonymous reviewers for bringing this point to our attention.

<sup>6</sup>. Jawara was a Mandinka-speaker from a largely Mandinka rural community but himself married across ethnic boundaries.

<sup>7</sup>. Bella Jeng refused to apologise in public. He was fired from his post in Radio Gambia soon after this incident, but the reason for his dismissal remains unclear. Sommerfelt interviewed Bella Jeng in 1996, in part about his time in Radio Gambia. During the interview, he reiterated the view that Mandinka people were the

---

cause of all of Gambia's 'troubles'. Lamin Saho, who reportedly sacked Jeng, was Minister of Tourism and Information from 1987 to May 1990 (Perfect 2016: 370).

<sup>8</sup>. Scholars have employed the concept of 'Mandingization' to characterise Mandinka ethnic influence in other arenas. In this usage, it refers to a trend and a model of ethnic change, which 'entails changes in agricultural practices, local political systems, gender relations, and kinship systems that are seen by Jolas as well as by scholars who study this region as flowing from the process of conversion to Islam and progressive adoption of "Mandinka ways of living"' (Thomson 2011: 96).

<sup>9</sup>. As of yet unpublished research by Sait Matty Jaw of the University of The Gambia suggests a relationship between Mandinka ethnicity and the UDP vote—though it is not entirely clear if that is due to ethnic loyalty, region of country, UDPs legacy as the main opposition party, or other factors. We do not believe this changes our overall argument that the accusatory politics of ethnicity outstrip actual mobilisation.

<sup>10</sup>. Gambian law occasionally recognises the motive force of ethnic considerations in daily life. For example, the *Gambia Armed Forces Act 1985*, para 100 s. 2(e) specifies 'disputes or fights between ethnic groups' as something that needs to be reported.

<sup>11</sup>. American diplomats commented on what they saw as Darboe's tribalist leanings during the 2006 presidential election campaign (WikiLeaks 2006).

<sup>12</sup>. Ousainou Darboe was imprisoned ahead of the Presidential elections in 2016. Adama Barrow, a relative newcomer in Gambian politics, became the candidate for the opposition coalition. Barrow had to withdraw his membership from the UDP in order to stand as candidate for the coalition as the constitution prohibits candidates from representing two political parties simultaneously.